

Omission 1

I refer to the current application for an alcohol and entertainment licence

The following, I believe are the previously permitted licensing restrictions

Premises Open Hours Monday to Sunday

05:00 - 00:30

Activities –

Performance of live music (Indoors)

Monday to Sunday 10:00-23:00

Playing of recorded music (Indoors)

Monday to Sunday 10:00- 23:00

Performance of dance (Indoors)

Monday to Sunday 10:00-23:00

Late night refreshment (Indoors)

Monday to Thursday 23:00-00:00

Friday and Saturday 23:00 -01:00

Sunday 23:00 - 00:00

The sale by retail of alcohol for consumption ON and OFF the premises

Monday to Thursday 10:00-00:00

Friday and Saturday 10:00-1:00

Sunday 10:00-00:00

In addition restrictions were placed on the operation of the external areas

**Annex 3 - Conditions attached after a hearing by the Licensing Authority**

1. Notices will be displayed both internally and external at the premises asking patrons to leave the premises quietly and have regard to the nearby residents.
2. Beer garden to close at 23.00 hours each and every day.
3. With regard to the premises being open for the broadcast of televised sporting events of national or international interest outside normal operating hours. The number of occasions for additional hours should be limited to no more than 12, with notice to the Police of 14 days and the police will have power of veto over any such extension.

I live directly adjacent to the Griffin on Bradmore Lane. I purchased the Griffin approximately two years ago and when I sold it to the current owner, restrictive covenants were placed on its use including restrictions of any commercial use beyond 8.00 – 12.00. (see 11.4 of Transfer Document attached). Since this time additional houses have been built in the former pub car park area which add to the need to protect residential amenity.

In view of the covenant restrictions and the previous licensing restrictions I would request that no activities are permitted outside 8.00 – 12.00 and would therefore object to any permanent licensing outside these hours (unless by temporary licence on up to the 5 days per year permitted by the covenant restriction – to cover New Years Eve or the odd special function)

In addition most of the habitable rooms in my house including main lounge and bedroom all lie within 12 metres of the external area proposed for licensing and are very sensitive to any noise or activity in this space. I would therefore request that the previous restriction that 'beer garden to close at 23.00 hours each and every day' be maintained.

I know the current owner and I am sure he will operate the premises in a responsible manner but I do need to ensure the amenities of my property are protected and ensure no adverse precedent is set in the event the premises are sold on in the future.

Regards

Dear Mr

Thank you for your informative email, I am pleased that you feel the current operator is going to be responsible and you have included the old licence which had a late licence I am under no doubt you placed a covenant on the property to protect your own property and not that of others in the village. Unfortunately covenants are not considered in the Licensing act as they are a negotiable chattel of a property and that can be sold out by the person who placed it on the property and is part of civil law under property.

Although you feel by covenants etc. you would be protected under property law these do not cross over to other legislation and I feel your legal advisor would have to take out a private prosecution to enforce such, but the cost of that would be at your expense.

I would expect this premises to have some noise as is the norm of a good venue but you have not been able to evidence what you determine that it will be a poorly run venue, as why I presume you bought the premises in the first place to restrict its use, but by giving up the previous licence all those conditions are history and you were poorly advised as you could have placed more restrictive conditions via the licensing act than by the sale of the property.

Your email does support the application in part but does not restrict the application for the current application for a licence; I will included it in my papers if this matter is referred to a panel as a reference.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris MBII  
Senior Licensing Officer

### Omission 2

Dear Sir,

I would like to register an objection to this planning application on the basis of prevention of a public nuisance.

It is my concern that any event that could be performed under this application would be likely to result in an increased number of attendees at the Griffin. This in turn will over stretch the available parking which is already extremely limited. It is likely to result in additional on street parking and also parking on my property which has a private road leading to it. The parking issue will be exaggerated by the lack of public transport at a late hour.

I also have concerns around the potential for loud music causing inconvenience to local residents.

Regards

Dear Mr

Thank you for your email in respect of the Griffin Your main objection is to the parking which is not considered by the licensing committee and therefore does not fall under the banner of public nuisance and you have made comment on noise as a potential but have not evidenced why so I am refusing your objection on those grounds.

Yours Sincerely

Peter Harris MBII  
Senior Licensing Officer

**Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED

Dear Sir or Madam

I am writing to make my objection to the extended license application for The Griffin in Plumtree. My objections are:

#### Public Safety & Protection of children from harm

As the pub is directly opposite a school (literally the other side of the road), parents park in a morning near to the pub with children walking past it to go to school. If an extended license is granted for drink on and off the premises, there is more opportunity and a higher likelihood of people under the influence of alcohol dropping glass bottles and glasses outside the pub and on their way home. This could even include on their way to the bus stop which if going to Nottingham would take them on the same route as school children or for Keyworth would mean they need to cross the road and stand at the bus stop, again a route for children to walk to school.

#### Prevention of crime and disorder

The Griffin pub is in the heart of the village of Plumtree, which means that when people leave to go home, they will have to walk right through the village in either direction, or stand at the bus stop on main road outside residents homes. At this time the bus service only runs once an hour. If people have been drinking until midnight (or 1am), they are unlikely to wait at a bus stop in silence standing still. They are likely to be in groups (unlikely they will be drinking alone until 1am) which will increase the likelihood of anti-social behaviour from people waiting for the bus, through boredom of waiting if nothing else. This would be a nuisance to all the residents on Main Road

I hope that you take these concerns seriously and make the right decision for our village and its residents.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Yours faithfully

Plumtree  
Nottinghamshire  
NG12

Dear Mr.

Thank you for your email below.

The period for the registering of objections to the Application for a Premises Licence for the Griffin Inn, Main Street, Plumtree, closed at Midnight on Thursday 2<sup>nd</sup> August, 2018, your objection below can therefore not be recorded as such. Your correspondence will be included in material presented to the Licensing Committee but cannot be considered.

If you have any questions in relation to this matter please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,  
David Roberts.  
Licensing Officer.

### Omission 3

Re : application 020967 for the Griffin Public House

As a resident of the village I wish to make representations as follows:

#### Noise

This public house was previously successfully run without the extended (post 11o'clock) hours for use as an entertainment venue, providing pub food and drink. (I can remember having to queue to get in)

Experience of living in the village near the church hall has shown that visitors/users show little respect for the neighbours when leaving noisily late at night - both voices and car doors banging.

Sound from the cricket ground beyond the Griifin clearly travels to my home (during daylight) so I can now expect further noise disruption when in bed with windows open if these extended hours are utilised

#### Parking and Safety

The car park has been dramatically reduced in size and it seems everyone bar the planning department is aware that the remaining space is inadequate.

This will result in parking on the nearby streets (and even the main road) which we already experience with school functions- **selfish and dangerous parking** on bends and in roads that are too narrow, obstruction of residents drives/access.

Bradmore Lane will most likely be used as well (as it is with the school run parents) but at night and therefore in the dark.

There are frequent near misses in the daytime on Church hill and Church lane with parked cars crammed onto narrow congested roads during school, church and church hall functions.

I fear there will be a serious incident with pedestrians before long and this licence makes it more likely in my opinion.

### Loss of Amenity

I accept living in a village comes with compromises such as church and pub traffic and noise.

However, I think this licensing with extended hours and public performance options will seriously reduce the amenity and quality of life of the residents, and poses a real risk to safety of residents, visitors and through traffic alike, along with noise nuisance.

,  
Plumtree

Thank you very much for your trouble

Sent from my iPhone

On 25 Jul 2018, at 09:01, Licensing Mailbox <[Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear Mr

Since the inception of the Licensing Act 2003 there are no normal hours for a licensed premises, the applicant can specify the hours they want to trade, we have sent your email to the applicant's solicitor who will consider your email and may advise the applicant to add conditions in respect of noise. Our environmental health department does have a copy of the application and they have not raised a concern of noise as it has not been an issue historically. It is not my role to be the objector but to decide on what is and what is not a valid objection.

Peter Harris

Thank you for your reply

It seems your objectivity is lost

My objection is to noise and disturbance outside of normal pub hours ie as result of the extended later hours and special events.

Sent from my iPhone

On 25 Jul 2018, at 08:35, Licensing Mailbox <[Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk)> wrote:

Dear

Thank you for your email, each complaint is judged against the 4 licensing objectives which are

- the prevention of crime and disorder.
- public safety.
- the prevention of public nuisance.
- the protection of children from harm.

Your objections are mainly on the issues of traffic and your comment at the end of your email on you accept noise from a licensed premises takes the weight away from your point on noise. I understand your concerns and if these hours are granted and the issue of noise increases beyond the terminal hour, there is a procedure to challenge the licence holder on the operation of the premises and it is well documented under the Licensing Act 2003.

I will however make the applicant aware of your concerns and they may accept certain conditions to reduce noise. These conditions are mainly housekeeping which relate to the times of deliveries and waste collections also the disposal of glass into outside bins. Traffic is not our remit and we have no control over this I would advise you to take this to your parish councillor or borough councillor.

Therefore in conclusion to this we are rejecting your objection on the grounds that it does not place any abnormal weight against the licensing objections.

Peter Harris  
Senior Licensing Officer